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Student Body Representation Participation – 9/2014

- College of Humanities
- College of Social & Behavioral Sciences
- College of Agriculture & Life Sciences
- ASUA (2 board members)
- Eller College of Management
- College of Medicine – Tucson
- College of Engineering
- GPSC (2 board members)
- College of Nursing
Question 1

Was funding clearly related to the audience?

100% Yes
Question 2

Was the fiscal year review for 2013-14 comprehensive?

92% Yes
8% No
Question 3

Was ITSAB's 2014-15 timeline clearly conveyed?

Replies

- Yes: 92%
- No: 8%

92% Yes
8% No
Question 4

Is the proposal funding process clear?

83% Yes
17% No
What topics would you like to discuss/review at ITSAB meetings during the year?

• The topics mentioned so far: a breakdown of funding, the projects for which the IT fee has been used, and future proposals.

• The IT/Library fee, its purpose, and why it has been raised significantly over the past four years (2010-2014).

• I would like to learn more about what the students at the U of A think about ITSAB and all the improvements they have done on campus.

• Improving tech usage around campus for students and faculty.

• Progress on existing projects. Would like to discuss Arizona Mobile App and see if colleges can access the calendar and submit their own events through it.

• Act as a conduit between ITSAB and ASUA

• Is there a functional role to our participation? It seems largely informative such that we can convey to our constituents that the money is being well spent or not. I would have liked to hear more about our role as an Advisory Board.
Would you attend additional fiscal review sessions (not during standard meeting times)?

Replies

- Yes: 83%
- No: 17%
Do you have any additional comments?

- The meeting was great and I look forward to future meetings. Thank you for this opportunity for input.
- It would depend on what the session was reviewing specifically
- We were short on time following the fiscal review presentation, but I did feel as though the proposal/funding timeline was presented as an afterthought. Also, see comments re: our role as Advisory Board members.
Next Steps

• Schedule additional breakout sessions for review of FY 2014 spending
• Develop a marketing campaign for the 2014-15 Proposal Process (Open on 10/1/2014)